Faculty Evaluator:		Date:
Presenter #1:		
Organization:	 ☐ Outlined background accurately ☐ Indicated work's place in the field ☐ Identified problem early in talk ☐ Described personal contributions ☐ Had coherent narrative with a logical flow ☐ Delivered appropriate abstract, on time ☐ Finished presentation on time ☐ Clear take-home message and next steps 	/100
Content/Science:	 □ Described methods clearly □ Evaluated results critically □ Demonstrated significance of project □ Rigor of approach and conclusions □ Avoided jargon □ Answered questions calmly and clearly 	/100
Presentation:	 □ Slides legible and understandable □ Data labeled well □ Appropriate amount of information on each slide □ Voice audible, pace reasonable, well-modulated □ Used audiovisual tools effectively □ "Sparkle" or enthusiasm □ Materials produced by others clearly identified and cited 	/100
Presenter #2:		
Organization:	 □ Outlined background accurately □ Indicated work's place in the field □ Identified problem early in talk □ Described personal contributions □ Had coherent narrative with a logical flow □ Delivered appropriate abstract, on time □ Finished presentation on time □ Clear take-home message and next steps 	/100
Content/Science:	 □ Described methods clearly □ Evaluated results critically □ Demonstrated significance of project □ Rigor of approach and conclusions □ Avoided jargon □ Answered questions calmly and clearly 	/100
Presentation:	 ☐ Slides legible and understandable ☐ Data labeled well ☐ Appropriate amount of information on each slide ☐ Voice audible, pace reasonable, well-modulated ☐ Used audiovisual tools effectively ☐ "Sparkle" or enthusiasm ☐ Materials produced by others clearly identified and cited 	/100

Please provide a mark out of 100 for each category to arrive at an average. Alternatively, you can give an overall mark. The passing grade is 70%.